

1992

given to us by C. Mitchell

in advance of March 6 meeting

need to establish "pedigree" of telescope

Langenberg Report

MM issue is nonsense - ignores other frequencies

optical astronomy turn?

Keck

university 8m

int. effort or NATO 8m

decade survey? replacement

in addition assumes NATO stays

same for employment!

Block delivered report!

stretching point to label LIGO as astronomy

Nobel Prize - if successful
J. Taylor in line already!

really stretching to include early universe: pulsars better way

LIGO ops of 2M\$/yr spent locally?

"26M\$ spent locally" vs. "outside vendor"

swapped order of LIGO construction! ^{cost} Δ's!

"international network" = talk
multi-national agreement exists, on paper !!

weak on telescope int pts

weak on radiotelescope endorsements: Greenstein
Field
NSF review of 5yr proposal

employment: ignores NRCO departure if LIGO goes
assumes erection/fabrication crew is brought in

are there any other projects at NSF in ground-based
gravitational research?

OMB "will be receptive" ≠ approval

