

Saluggia Beans

C

GR:JES

24th August, 1964.

Mr. Norman Stenhouse,
Division of Mathematical Statistics,
University of Adelaide,
ADELAIDE ... S.A.

Dear Norman,

Thank you for the prompt service on my calculations which arrived this morning. I plotted the equations for System 1 and found them to go closely through the centre of the data points. The high significance is most gratifying.

The equations for System 2 are very rough approximations with consequent low significance. It appears there has been some error. I picked out by eye some other quite different coefficients. They greatly improve the fit. These I have placed on your calculation sheets which are enclosed herewith. My tabulations for System 2 are also enclosed.

Please look into the subject and let me know the revised values.

Best regards,

Yours sincerely,

Grete Behar

Group M System 2
Salugzia grown from Standard Seed
51 Pods, 96 beans, 7/8/64

(Grana Beans) / (Grana Shucks)

0

1

2

3

4

CSIRO GRATICULE MM

Beans per pod

$$Y = 1.927 + \frac{1}{1030}$$

12

19

19

4

Group N Septem 2

Saluggia grown from I.C. Seed.

26 Pods, 59 beans, 30/7/64

9

Lat 42°

(Grains Beans) / (Grains Shucks)

0.3

1

2

0

$$Y = 1.15 + 0.08$$

$$Y = 1.15 + 0.08$$

Beans per Pod

10

10

1

Saluggia Blaws.

In what follows my Y = your Y
my X = your X

END OF COMPILATION T610717900

END OF COMPILATION T270718020

END OF COMPILATION T105115350

CARD OUT OF SEQUENCE AFTER CARD NUMBER 9051

VARN	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	V.R.
REGN	1	2.044821	2.044821	183.422
DEVN	48	.535111	.011148	
TOT	49	2.579932		

1 M

LOGY = .423192 + 1.085377 LOGX

R = .8902

SY	SX	CSSY	CSSX	CSP
-.28884354E+01	-.22156387E+02	.25799325E+01	.17357770E+01	.18839729E+01
VARN	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	V.R.
REGN	1	.887004	.887004	80.210
DEVN	23	.254343	.011058	
TOT	24	1.141347		

1 N

LOGY = .350003 + 1.056959 LOGX

R = .8815

SY	SX	CSSY	CSSX	CSP
-.12217521E+01	-.94344480E+01	.11413472E+01	.79397900E+00	.83920360E+00
VARN	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	V.R.
REGN	1	.170080	.170080	19.840
DEVN	48	.411482	.008572	
TOT	49	.581562		

2 M

LOGY = .316498 + .300850 LOGX

R = .5407

SY	SX	CSSY	CSSX	CSP
.19177577E+02	.11143990E+02	.58156210E+00	.18791073E+01	.56533060E+00
VARN	D.F.	S.S.	M.S.	V.R.
REGN	1	.111689	.111689	17.694
DEVN	23	.145181	.006312	
TOT	24	.256870		

2 N

LOGY = .209397 + .383211 LOGX

R = .6593

SY	SX	CSSY	CSSX	CSP
.82169154E+01	.77815111E+01	.25687050E+00	.76055970E+00	.29145550E+00

1 M $Y = 2.65 X^{1.085}$

IN $Y = 2.24 X^{1.057}$

2M $Y = 2.07 X^{3.01}$

2N $Y = 1.62 X^{3.83}$

Dear Groote,

Your fit by eye is extremely good. The apparent error in the last set arise because you have assumed you can invert a least square fit. This is not so. I have done 1M and 1N the right way around good measure. i.e. You X. Regards N

System 2

Group M				Group N	
Y	X	Y	X	Y	X
2.30	2	2.43	2	2.19	2
3.21	3	2.38	2	2.38	3
1.56	1	3.54	3	2.82	2
2.07	2	1.97	1	2.62	3
3.11	3	2.31	3	1.56	1
1.94	1	1.94	2	2.47	3
2.10	1	1.94	3	2.12	2
1.86	1	2.65	1	2.24	3
2.22	2	2.97	1	2.58	3
3.13	3	2.77	1	1.82	2
2.56	2	3.57	3	1.94	3
3.11	2	1.35	1	2.09	3
1.66	1	2.85	3	2.78	2
2.08	1	2.61	2	1.26	2
2.77	3	2.70	2	2.86	3
3.46	2	1.92	1	1.50	1
2.38	1	1.99	2	2.41	3
2.88	2	1.41	1	2.61	2
1.70	1	2.83	1	2.07	2
3.00	3	2.89	1	1.55	1
2.52	2	2.63	1	1.33	1
3.01	3	2.80	2	2.11	1
1.42	1	3.03	2	2.32	2
2.21	1	3.66	2	2.88	3
2.56	3	2.58	3	2.16	2

Fit curves of type ~~$X = AY^B$~~ use $Y = AX^B$
 Determine values of A and B
 Compute "p"